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## PREFACE

What opinions do the students of Wilkes College have concerning the recent campaign of the Commission on Metropolitan Government to consolidate a number of Wyoming Valley communities with the City of Wilkes-Barre? Under the direction of the Institute of Municipal Government at Wilkes College, a survey of student opinion concerning the issue was conducted by the Junior Chamber of Conmerce Chapter on the campus.

The survey was made with a number of objectives in mind: (1) to ascertain student opinion on the issue; (2) to break down the students' opinions into certain attributes or characteristics, such as sex, class, etc.; (3) to discover whether the students possessed any knowledge of their municipal governments; (4) to evaluate certain services provided by municipal governments; (5) to get a student appraisal of the media of communication; (6) to see which factors weighed most hervily in their opinions.

The data were collected by an informal survey technique. The method involved no interviewing. The questionnaires were distributed at an assembly period. The students were asked to answer the questions and to deposit the questionnaires in designated places on the campus. No attempt was made to secure a representative cross-section or sample of the student body of approximately 1,000 students. The group conducting the survey hoped that if enough students responded to the questionnaire, the resilts might be considered representative of the opinions that would have bion obtained had the whole student population been interviewed personnally.

Approximately 1,000 questionnaires were handed out to
students. Almost a third, or 319 , were returned. Of this number, only 272 were used; 47 were eliminated because their answers were invalid. The group conducting the survey felt that since over a quarter of the studentmbody replies were vaild replies, a certain amount of reliance could be placed on the results.

Everyone associated with the survey was well aware of the errors inherent in a study of this kind. It should not be supposed that all those students expressing opinons were equally informed or that they held their opinions with equal convictions. It must also be pointed out that caution must be exercised in putting an unwarranted interpretation on the results. dil in all the results are gratifying. The group accomplished what it set out to do: to learn how Wilkes students felt about the issue CONSOLIDATION.

Both the Institute of Municipal Government and the Wilkes College Division of the Greater Wilkes-Barre Junior Chamber of Commerce wish to express particular thanks to Mrs. Ruth Roberts, a member of the English Department at Wilkes College, for reading the results of the study and making thoughtful suggestions in the preparation of the study. Special thanks are due to the following members of the Wilkes College Division of the Greater Wilkes-Barre Junior Chamber of Commerce: Mike Evanko, John Mulhall, Paul Klein, Bernard Wahalla, Frank Steck, Allyn Jones, Dale Wagner, and Richard Salus.

Poll Conducted - May 1959
Poll Released - September 1959

## general Information resulis

The totel number of questionnaires collected was 319, of which 47 were ruled invalid. Only 272 replies were in the tabulations.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
157 \text { For Consolidation } & 57.7 \% \\
\text { Il5 Against Consolidation } & 42.3 \%
\end{array}
$$

Wilkes College Students favored consolidation. In this respect, they were not an accurate barometer of opinion, since consolidation was defeated at the polls.

| Against Consolidation | 115 |  | For Consolidation - 157 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students from |  |  | Students from |
| Wilkes-Barre | 15 | 14.8\% | Wilkes-Barre Students from |
| outside the City | 98 | 85.2\% | outside the City $10063.6 \%$ |

As the figures show, more students from the City contributed to the cause for consolidation than to the cause against it. Whereas it might be expected that students outside the City might be preponderantly against consolidation, the survey shows that the feeling against consolidation was about evenly divided among these students.

Student opinion was broken down into classes: freshman, sophomore, junior, and senior. All classes indicated a desire for consolidation.

## Class

For

## Freshman <br> Sophomore <br> Junior <br> Senior

The freshman and seniors are about evenly divided on the issue; whereas junior feeling for consolidation was bettir than 2 to 1.
The backbone of the student support for consolidation came frou
the juniors and sophomores.
If cosmopolitanism is developed on a college campus, the results
perhaps belie that fact. Both the seniors and the freshmen are about evenly divided on the issue. It is to be expected that freshmen still have a great provincial attachment for their small community. But the figures would indicnte that seniors reveal just as great an attachment.

The students were also asked to indicate their sex so thet it could be ascertained whether the male or female students favored consolidation more.

| Sex | For |  | Against |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| femsle | 37 | 68.5\% | 17 | 31.5\% |
| male | 120 | 55.0\% | 98 | 45.0\% |

Since there are more males than females in the student body, it would be expected that more males would participate in the poll. The real picture is obtained by reducing the figures to percentages. The 37 females taking the position for consolidation constituted $68.5 \%$ of their total number; the 120 males taking the position for consolidation constituted only $55.0 \%$ of their number. The conclusion is thet more females were in favor of consolidation than male students.

The student replies were classified according to their choice of ecucational program offered by the College, namely: education students, commerce and finance students, natural science students, and liberal arts students.

## Program

For
Against
Commerce and Finance
Education
Natural Science Liberal arts
$\begin{array}{ll}32 & 67.7 \%\end{array}$
It is evident that the largest contributors to the cause of consolidation were the liberal arts students and the comerce and
finance students. The greatest number for consolidation were mathematics majors (18) and business administration majors (22). The bulk of the opposition against consolidation came from the secondary education students (25) and biology majors (19). It ought to be pointed out that the secondary education students were about evenly divided with 25 for consolidation and 24 against consolidation.

The student respondents were also divided according to their community of residence. Of the 157 students who favored consolidation, 57 came from the City of Wilkes-Barre, as was to be expected. The next two largest groups cene from Nanticoke (10) and Kingston (9). The bulk of the opposition among those 115 students who did not favor consolidation came from Kingston (24), Plymouth (17), and Wilkes-Barre (15). A complete tabulation was made of this residential survey data but was not included in the survey because of space.

## QUESTION 1.

QUESTION: Which of the following structures of municipal government is used in your commuity? Check one. Corarission

Mayor-Council
Council-Manager
This question was put in the survey to find out if the students had any knowledge about the type of local government in their respective communities.

| For | Against |
| :---: | :---: |
| 95 | 51 |
| 62 | 56 |

## Did know

Did not know
95
62
51
56
Most of the Wilkes-Barre students not in favor of consolidation (14 of 15) thought the City had a Mayor-Council forn of government. This was also true of the Wilkes-Barre students who were in favor of consolidation (53 of 57). The replies indicate a serious lack of knowledge of their own government, since only 5 of the 72 Wilkes-Barre students recognized that their government is the Comission form. Of those 198 students living outside the City of Wilkes-Barre, a total of 141 or $70 \%$, for and against consolidation recognized their forn of government correctly.

It is interesting to note which of the groups, those for or those against consolidation, were more familiar with their respective forms of government.

| For | Against |
| :---: | :---: |
| $9560 \%$ | $52 \quad 40 \%$ |

Analysis shows that those for consolidation were aore familiar with their forms of government. A further analysis als reveals that this majority is built up because 91 students out of 100
living outside the City, and for consolidation, recognized their government correctly.

## QUESTION 2.

QUESTION: Di you live in a : second class township first class township borough
third class city
This question was inserted in the poll to ascertain further student knowledge of his government.


It would appear from the above figures that the students against consolidetion were better informed than those for consoridation. On this question, the Wilkes-Barre Students fared better than on the previous one. They knew more accurately that they livec in a third class city ( $88 \%$ ); whereas the students living outside the City were correct only 3 times out of $4(76 \%)$. Of the 72 Wilkes-Barre students, 50 of the 57 who were for consolidation and 14 of the 15 were against consolidation answered correctly. Of the 198 students living outside the City limits, 73 of the 98 who were for consolidation and 79 of the 100 who were against consolidation recognized their comunity classification correctly.

QUESTION 3.

QUESTION: Do you have any knowlecige as to whether the municipal government of your comunity is operating at a deficit: No No Opinion
This question was merely a simple attempt to find out whether students have any knowledge at all about the financial affairs of
their respective comunity governments. No attempt was made to probe further than to ascertain if they knew whethor or not their government operatec at a deficit. Nor was any attempt made to check the validity of their knowledge. The phrase "any knowledge" could conceivable menn even hearsay. Nevertheless, the results reveal much about college students.

The group recognized, after the results came in, that the No Opinion alternative for the respondents to nark should have been removed and that the students should have been given just two alternatives--Yes and No. However, the Nj Opinion replies were tabulated.
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { For Consolidation } & \text { Yes } & 41 & \text { No } & 57 & \text { No Opinion } & 37 \\ \text { Against Consolidation } & \text { Yes } & 48 & \text { No } & 42 & \text { No Opinion } & 23\end{array}$ Against Consolidation Yes 48 No 42 No Opinion 23
The group felt that the No Opinion checks should really be tabulated with the No group. It is perfectly clear from the figures that most college students, whether for or against consolidation, hac no knowledge of the financial condition of the qunicipal government. Although there were more Yes replies aming the group against consolidation, the picture is not changed substantially when the No Opinion votes are cumbined with the No votes.

Those in the City admit their lack of knowledge as do those outside the City.

Wilkes-Barre
Outside
21
38
22

Against Wilkes-Barre Outside -
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Yes } & 20 \\ \text { No opinion } & 19 \\ \text { No Op }\end{array}$

42
36
20

QUESTION: Rate the following functions of your municipal government: police, fire, school system, and
recreation. The ratings available to the students were excellent, above average, average, below average, and inadequate.

In this question students were asked to rate four services provided by the governmental authorities in all communities in Wyoming Valley. It was hoped that there might be some correlation between the rating the student ascribed to a service and his attitude toward consolidation. It should be remembered that students are not administrative experts, and, therefore, their rating may not be founded on any well-grounded basis. Their ratIng may be the result of mere exposure to the service and their attitude toward it. The students were not asked to indicate any reason or basis for their opinions, nor were they asked to suggest changes, if necessary.

Wilkes-Barre Students

| Police | Fire |  | Schools |  | Recreation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Against | For | against | For | Against | For | against |

No Wilkes-Barre student against consolidation rated either City police protection or City recreation excellent or even above average. In these two categories of services, the anti-consolidation City students began their rating with average. Even the consolidation students from the City skewed their ratings toward the lower end of the rating scale. No Wilkes-Barre student who was for consolidation rated the City fire department as inndequate. The City students who favored consolidation skewed their rating at average or better.

The above figures also are revealing in other respects. Wilkes-Barre students, whether for or against consolidation, think more highly of their fire department than of their school system. While 46 of the 72 Wilkes-Barre students rated their school system average or better, 55 of the 72 rated the fire department average or better. Only 6 students gave the school aystem a rating of excellent; whereas 10 gave fire protection the equivalent rating. It is interesting to note that the consolidation proponents made a great deal in their May campaign of the fact that the City school system is one of six accredited in Wyoming Valley. It was suggested that a higher caliber education could be offered to those in the three townships if consolidation could be attained.

Althogher, 28 City students, bother for and against consolidation, rated recreation as inadequate and 19 students rated police protection equally low. It would appear from the student ratings given the recreation program and police protection that both of these programs need bolstering.

Students outside Wilkes-Barre
Fire Schools
For Alce Fire Schools


Students living outside the City, both for and against consolidation, rated fire protection and schools higher than police protection and racreation. Approximately the same number of students for (42) and against (48) rate their fire departments above average or excollent. More students who were against consolidation (46)
rate their school system above average or excellent than those for consolidation (29). It is doubtful whether a plea could be made for consolidation on the basis of better fire protection. A case based on a higher caliber of education might stand only an even chance; whereas the best plea for consolidation could definitely be made on the basis of better police protection and a better recreational program. However, since the Wilkes-Barre students, both for and against consolidation, rated both of these service low, perhaps the figures reveal that students, whether living in the City or outside and whether for or against consolidation, are really pointing out a deficiency in these programs in Wyoming Valley as a whole.

## QUESTION 5.

QUESTION: Do you feel that your community has taken adequate
steps toward its future development by a planned program?

No No Opinion
This question was included in the questionnaire to find out whether the students were at all familiar with any attempts made in their own communities to plan for the future development of the community. It should be noted that Wilkes-Barre, Nanticoke, and Pittston have both Planning Commissions and Redevelopment Authorities to plan and redevelop those communities. Kingston and Forty Fort have Zoning Boards, which do not necessarily plan for any future development but rather administer zoning ordinances ordained by the borough councils. The latter two communities are not really planning, in the techincal sense, for the future.

Again, after the tabulations started, it was apparent that the No Opinion choice should have been ommitted. Howevir, the results

Evidently any work along this line has not been brought to the attention of the College students. This certainly would be true of those from Wilkes-Barre, Pittston, and Nanticoke. As was the case with the answers to Question 4, students are aware of deficiencies in their municipalities.

## Question 6.

QUESTION: If you had a friend who was considering moving to Wyoming Valley, list three towns in order of preference that you would recommend to him.

The inclusion of this question was predicated on the promise that there might exist some lily-white community or communities which appear to meet all requisites of a desirable town in which to live and to recommend to someone else. The student was not asked to consider any particular factor as a basis for indicating his preference.

Students both for and against consolidation, living both in the City and outside it, would recommend Kingston. Of students outside the City and against consolidation, 60 of 98 would recommend Kingston, followed by Forty Fort (11)and Dallas (10). Almost all Wilkes-Barre students against consolidation would also recommend Kingston. Of the 57 Wilkes-Barre students for consolidation, 25 would also recommend Kingston; 14 would recommend Wilkes-Barre, followed by Forty Fort and Dallas. The order was slightly changed for the consolidation minded students living outside the City: Kingston (37), Dallas (16), and Forty Fort (13).

A bit starting was the fact that only 14 of 57 Wilkes-Barre students favoring consolidation would recommend the City to a friend; and, also, that 4 of the 100 students living outside the City favoring consolidation would recormend the City above their own community or any section other than Wilkes-Barre.

$$
\text { QUESTION } 7 .
$$

QUESTION: List in order of preference, three towns in Wyoming Valley that you consider efficiently managed and which you consider as furnishing all necessary services.

As a complement to the preceding question, students were asked which of the municipalities in the Valley they considered efficientIy managed. It again should be remembered that students are not experts and, therefore, do not possess the expertise to evaluate professionally.

The cynical attitude of the student body toward local government was reflected in a very simple figure: 119 of 272 polled stated that no town in Wyoming Valley is efficientiy managed. This is the opinion of $43.7 \%$ of the students.

For
Against
Wilkes-Barre Outside

9 | Wilkes-Barre Outside |  | Wilkes-Barre | 91 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None efficiently managed | 26 | 51 | 9 |

It is surprising that 26 students of 57 living in the City and for consolidation feel that the Clty is not efficiently managed. It is also noteworthy that 84 students who state that no town is efficiently managed live outside the City environs. A total of 77 out of 157 consolidation or $49 \%$ stated that no town is efficiently managed. A total of of 42 or $36 \%$ of 115 anti-consolidetion students felt the same way. Certainly, in spite of the fact that students may be for consolidation, they, nevertheless, are more severely
critical than the anti-consolidation students. Perhaps these results raise the interesting speculation as to whether these students would remain in the Valley.

Among the anti-consolidation students, 43 students living outside the City and 5 Wilkes-Barre students selected Kingston as the town they thought most efficiently managed. Kingston was followed by Forty Fort in the student selections. It is odd that whereas in the previous question, 60 students would recommend Kingston to a friend, only 43 of them consider it efficiently managed.

Among the proponents for consolidation, Kingston was selected as the town that they thought was most efficiently managed. There were 15 students for consolidation living in Wilkes-Barre and 18 living outside who felt that Kingston was so managed. Among the consolidation students from Wilkes-Barre, Wilkes-Barre was the second selection. Only 13 of 37 pro-consolidation out-of-city students who recommended Kingston in the previous question also stated that Kingston was efficiently managed. The rest stated that no town was considered somanaged in spite of the fact that they wuld recommend Kingston to a friend.

Although Kingston was selected the most frequently by both consolidation and anti-consolidation students, it received the vote of only $27.9 \%$ of all students polled. Wilkos-Barre received only $7.3 \%$ of the student vote on the question of efficient management.

## QUESTION 8.

QUESTITA, Tadeate five of the following factors, in the order Indicate fince, as thuse which you think industrialists of preference, as thertant when conterplating a move consider most important ade natural resources, good a new community: adeq
location, transportation, tax concessions, equitable adequate water, efficient governmental services, labor, available sites, ample electric power, skilled of school system, sites, available housing, caliber of market areas. cultural facilities, proximity

The factors were ranked in the following order by all the students taking part in the poll:

| skilled labor | 155 | $56.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| transportation | 153 | $56.2 \%$ |
| good 1ocation | 130 | $47.7 \%$ |
| proximity to markets | 130 | $47.7 \%$ |
| available sites | 110 | $40.4 \%$ |

The above tabulation was compiled by adding up the number of times a factor was marked among the first five.
among the anti-consolidationists living in Wilkes-Barre, the factors considered most important were transportation, available sites, skilled labor, and tax concessions, in that order. It is surprising that cultural facilities was not once ranked among the first five factors.

Among the anti-consolidationist students living outside the City, transportaion was ranked as the most important factor, since it appeared as one of the first five preferences 67 times out of 98, although it ranked first only five times. Transportation was followed in this order by skilled labor, good location, proximity of markets, and tax concessions. Cultural facilities and available housing were not considered important by the students.

The factors considered important by the consolidation students living in Wilkes-Barre were skilled labor, proximity to markets, transportation, good locntion, tax concessions, and available sites. Adequate natural resources and proximity of market areas received the most first-place votes. Yet adequate natural resources ranked
in seventh place in total number of times that students considered it in among the factors.

The students for consolidation and living outside the City ranked the factors in the following order: skilled labor, good Incation, transportation, proximity to markets, and available sites Good location received most first-place votes. Skilled labor was tied for second with proximity to markets. Cultural facilities ranked last. Electric power and available housing received no first place votes.

Against
For
Wilkes-Barre Outside Total Wilkes-BarreOutside Total

| Skilled labor | 8 | 58 | $66(2)$ | 34 | $\therefore 55$ | 89 (1) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Transportation | 10 | 67 | $77(1)$ | 31 | 45 | 76 (3) |
| Good Iocation | 6 | 52 | $58(3)$ | 26 | 46 | 72 (4) |
| Proximity to | 6 | 48 | $54(4)$ | 33 | 43 | 76 (2) |
| markets | 6 | 39 | $48(5)$ | 21 | 41 | 62 (5) |
| Available sites | 9 | QUESTION 9. |  |  |  |  |

QUESTION: Below are some factors which some people think have deterred industry from coming to the Valley. Rank the three factors that you feel have made industry hesitant about locating here: subsidence or subsurface conditions, political corruption, decreasing population, lack of skilled labor supply, labor difficulties.

The reason for including this question was to find out student opinion concerning their image by outsiders, such as industrialists. Again, It must be pointed out that although the students may consider certain factors as deterents, industrialists may not necessarily consider them so.


The above table reveals subsidence and political corruption are considered by both consolidation and anti-consolidation students as deterents to attracting industry. It is noteworthy that both of these factors were either ignored or played down by the Commission for Metropolitan Commission. Whereas the students for consolidation rated a decreasing population as third, the anti's rated it last and placed labor difficulties. in third place.

Among the Wilkes-Barre students against consolidation, political corruption received 6 first-place votes out of 15 as the factor most likely to deter industry from coming here. This factor did not receive a mark among the first three on any two responses. Notice should be taken that among these students a decreasing population 15 not considered as a deterring factor.

Among the students against consolidation and living outside the city, checks for decreasing population ran last. Subsidence Was the first choice on 28 of 98 ballots and appeared on 89 of 98 replies. Political corruption received 26 first place choices and a total of 82 marks out of 98 .
first among the Wilkes-Barre students Who favored consolidation, followed by decreasing population. This latter factor
nighest number in second and third choices.
subsidence was ranked first in first-place choices and also first in total marks among the students who favor consolidation but live outside the City. Political corruption ranked second in first-place choices and second in total marks among these same students.

The students, both those for consolidation and those against consolidation, have recognized subsidence as the most pressing problem. The issue of political corruption, long a source of political agitation in Luzerne County, is related to issues raised in Questions 4, 5, 6, and 7. There certainly must be some relationship between student feelings on political corruption on the one hand and efficient management of local government on the other.

$$
\text { QUESTION } 10 .
$$

QUESTION: Do you feel that enough information has been disseminated about consolidation as a solution to the problems of the Valley?
This question and the next three that follow were an attempt to have students evaluate the effectiveness of the media of communication in presenting the issue of consolidation leading to the voting on Primary Election Day on May 19, 1959.

The overwhelming feeling among the students was that not enough information had been disseminated on the issue. This they said in about equal proportion among the pros and antis in spite of the fact that the students voted 2 to 1 in favor of consolidation. It raises an interesting question as to what basis was used in the formation of their opinions in voting for consolidation. of course, the lack of information might have been the deciding factor in voting against consolidation by the anti students.

| For |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Yes | 24 | $16 \%$ | Against |
| No | 133 | $84 \%$ | 16 |

There is no need to break down the above table since all classes of students felt overwhelmingly the same.

$$
\text { QUESTION } 11 \text { AND QUESTION } 12
$$

QUESTION: How do you feel the media of communication (radio
IV, newspapers) have treat issue? Fair Favor consolidation Against it
QUESTION: Do you feel that the presentation of the issue has been in good taste, factual, offensive?

These two questions are taken together because the interpretation of the results lends itself to combination. questions 11 and 12 combined with question 10 and question 13 , do give a composite student attitude about the media of communication on the issue of consolidation. The results are all the more important in view of the fact that so much money was spent on promoting consolidation through these media.

Only 1 Wilkes-Barre student of 15 against consolidation expressed the feeling that the media of communication was fair in its treatment of the issue, and even this student probably invalidated his reply by answering on the next question that the presentation Was offensive. In most cases where the Wilkes-Barre students felt that the media of communication favored consolidation, they also felt that the presentation was offensive ( 8 of 12 responses). Wilkes-Barre students against consolidanion that not enough information had been had been disseminated favored
consolidation and was offensive.

A total of 85 of 98 or $86.7 \%$ of the students living outside the city and against consolidation felt that the media favored consolida tion. Of these 85 students, 53 or $62.3 \%$ had the impression that the presentation was offensive. There were 46 students who expressed the opinion that not enough information had been disseminated on the issue, and that which had been disseminated favored consolldation and was offensive. This again is almost $50 \%$ of the students who were against consolidation and who live outside the City, among the anti-consolidationists outside the City, there was only 2 of 98 Tho felt that enough information was disseminated, that the treatment was fair, and that the presentation was factual. No antistudents combined enough information, fair treatment, and presentation in good taste. As a matter of fact, only 3 students of 98 against consolidation and living outside the City felt the presentation was in good taste, and only 17 of 98 felt the presentation was actual.

Of the 57 students living in Wilkes-Barre and favoring consolidation, 37 said the media favored the consolidation movement and 20 said the treatment was fair. This latter figure must be taken mith a slight reservation inview of the fact that 6 of the 20 stated that the presentation was offensive. Of the 20 , only 4 said that the presentation was in good taste, and 10 said it was factual. Homever, further analysis reveals that of the 20 who said the treatment was fair, 17 indicated in Question 10 that not enough information had been disseminated. Of the 37 of the 57 Wilkes-Barre students Who said that the media favored consolidation, 11 said it was offensive, 8 said it was in good taste, and 18 said it was factual.

Wilkes-Barre Students For

Factual
Offensive
In good taste
28
17
12

| 1 | 29 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 27 |
| 1 | 13 |

The Wilkes-Barre students, both for and against consolidation, are almost evenly divided in appraising the presentation between factual and offensive. Note should be taken that more indicated that the presentation was offensive than indicated that the presentation was in good taste.

A full $77 \%$ or 77 of 100 consolidation students living outside the City stated that the media of communication favored consolidation. Of the 23 who did state that it was fair in treating the issue, 14 stated that it was factual and only 7 stated that it was in good taste. Of the 23 who said that the treatment was fair, 18 indicated in Question 10 that not enough information had been disseminated on the issue. Of the 77 who stated that the issue was handled fairly, 37 maintained that it was factual, 19 stated that it was offensive, and 21 helc that it was in good taste.

PRESENTATION OF ISSUES--BOTH CONSOLIDATION AND ANTI-CONSOLIDATION STUDENTS
Wilkes-Barre Outside Total Percentage of all students

Factual
Offensive
In good taste

| 29 | 30 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 27 | 100 |
| 13 | 29 |


| 59 | $21.6 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 127 | $46.6 \%$ |
| 42 | $15.4 \%$ |

One is first struck by the fact that $46.6 \%$ is rather high and that this is rather a harsh feeling on the part of the students. Part of that total of 127 is made up of anti-consolidation students (78). Even without that 78 included, the figure remaining (49) is surprisingly high since the media of communication as an industry
always pricles itself on the factual presentation of the public issues.

## QUESTION 13.

QUESTION: Check the media of communication which played the towards cont part in influencing your opinion personal contact.

As was stated in introducing the previous three questions, the inclusion of this question was to find out how the students felt about the presentation of the issue of consolidation by the media of communication. This particular question was included in order to find out which particular media was most effective. The inclusion of personal contact was an alternative choice was inserted for just that reason. Actually, the group constructing the questionnaire realized that this should have been a separate question; therefore, the phraseology of the question is dubious. The group wanted to limit the number of questions to 20, if at all possible.

Results of Question 13 makes one wonder whether money spent on the air--radio and TV--was worthwhile. It would appear that personal contact does still remain the most important media by which to spread information, although in view of the closeness of the vote (94 to 91), it might have to share its monopolistic position with newspapers.
Wilkes-Barre and Outside

|  | Wilkes-Barre and |  | Outside |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Against | For | Total |
|  |  |  | 26 |
| Radio | 22 | 19 | 48 |
| TV | 15 | 55 | 34 |
| Newspapers | 36 | 52 | 91 |
| Per |  |  |  |

## Newspapers <br> Personal contact

Again the position of personal contact and newspapers is barely maintained
students within and outside the City, since the vote is even closer (92 to 91).

Oth For and Against

| Both For and Against |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wilkes-Barre |  |  |
| Radio | 10 | Outside | Total |
| TV | 12 | 39 | 49 |
| Newspapers | 22 | 23 | 35 |
| Personal contact | 28 | 69 | 91 |
|  |  | 64 | 92 |

Of the 57 Wilkes-Barre students for consolidation, 21 marked personal contact as the media which influenced them the most and 34 marked either radio, TV, or newspapers. Only 9 of the 34 indicated in the previous question that the media were offensive in their presentation, while 9 indicated they were in good taste and 16 stated that it was factual.

The consolidation students living outside the City felt more kindly toward the media. Of the 66 of the 100 consolidation students living outside the City, 23 stated that in the previous question the presentation was in good taste and 23 stated that it was factual. Only 17 stated that it was offensive.

Of the 15 Wilkes-Barre students against consolidation, 8 of them marked ratio, $T V$, or newspapers as influencing them the most. Only 2 of the 8 indicated that it was offensive. There were 53 anti-consolidation students living outside the City who marked one of the commercial media (radio, TV, newspapers) as influencing their opinions. Of this number, 33 indicated thet the media was offensive, 15 said the presentation was factual, and 5 said it was in good taste.

There were many anti-consolidation students who said that not enough information had been presented, that what had been presented Iavored consolidation and was described as offensive, but who later
indicated that they were influenced in their thinking by personal contact.

## QUESTION 14, QUESTION 15, and QUESTION 17

QUESTION: Think of a person whom you respect very highly
er consolidation or against consolid highly.
QUESTION: The last time you disoussa was the general sentimensed consolidation in a group, against consolidations $\begin{aligned} & \text { for consolidation on }\end{aligned}$

QUESTION: Do you think your friends are consolidationists or anti-consolidationists? are consolidationists or

These questions were intended to show the importance of certain personal relationships in our thinking. Socioligists claim that we ordinarily think as a person whom we respect highly thinks. They also insist that people are likely to join that circle of friends who think as they do. Neither of these may be as true on the college campus as in every day life. There is the likelihood of more differences of opinion on the college campus. College students may, more often than the general public, disagree with those whom they respect and with those who make up their circle of friends. The aim was to find out to what extent on the campus both of these were true regarding this particular issue of consolidation.

Question 16 was inserted among these three questions, first, to make the student as unaware as possible of the objective in Questions 14, 15, and 17; second, as this was a sort of hiding place for the question which very definitely needed asking, to ascertain just how objective the students were trying to be,

There were 14 of 17 Wilkes-Barre students against consolidation or $82.3 \%$ respect highly a porson who was also against it. of these 14, il found themselves in an anti-consolidation group the last time the issue was discussed; altogethur 15 studonts or $88.2 \%$
indicated that they thought their friends were anti-consolidation
This same sort of relationship appears to hold true with the ${ }_{a}$ nti-consolidation students living outside the City, except that the relationship may not be as close. Of the 98 anti-consolidation student replies included, 73 or $74.4 \%$ indicate they respect highly an anti-consolidation person. Of the 73,67 last discussed the issue in a group that they considered anti-consolidation. altogether 82 or $83.4 \%$ indicated that they thought their friends were anticonsolidation.

Generally speaking, the relationship between a student's thinking as favoring consolidation and that of a highly respected person, and a last discussion, or his friends opinions is not so close as with the anti-consolidation group. Among the Wilkes-Barre consolidation students, 47 respected highiy a consolidation person. This is $80.7 \%$. A total of 37 found themselves in a pro group the last time the issue was discussed. Of the 57,44 or $77.1 \%$ feel that their friends are consolidation minded.

Among the consolidation students living outside the City, 82 of 100 or $82 \%$ respected highly a person who was in favor of consolidation; 62 or $62 \%$ last discussed the issue in a pro group. Of the 100,72 or $72 \%$ thought their friends to be for consolidation.

|  | For |  | Against | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respect highly | 129 | $82.1 \%$ | 87 | $75.6 \%$ | 216 | $79.4 \%$ |
| Last time discussed | 99 | $63.0 \%$ | 96 | $83.4 \%$ | 195 | $71.6 \%$ |
| Friends | 116 | $73.8 \%$ | 97 | $84.3 \%$ | 213 | $78.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Among the students as a whole, the most important factor influencing their opinions was the decision of a highly respected person, followed by those of their friends, and then the results of the last discussion. Almost 4 out of 5 students are influenced
in that way. The consolidation students indicated they were influenced mostly by a highly respected person, whereas the anticonsolidation students felt their circle of friends had the most influence.

## QUESTION 16.

QUSSTION: At the present time, is there any member of your immediate family active in the movement for your consolidation or holding an office with one municipal goverments included in the consolidation?

The inclusion of this question was to discover how objective the students could be, assuming of course, that if a member of their families were in any way directly involved in local government or in the movement for consolidation, their opinions would be colored in some way. It has been stated earlier that this question was included at this point deliberately to hide it among a series of questions so that the respondents would not be aware of its importance.

Only 7 of the total number of 115 students against consolidation admitted that a member of the family was involved; only 14 of 157 of the students favoring consolidation stated that some one of their inmediate family was involved. This means only $6.6 \%$ of the total respondents could possibly have been influenced by this factor of family relationship with the movement or with local officials who prosumably are pro, if City officials, and con, if outside officials. Even though the assumption were made that the 7 anticonsolidation might be for consolidation and the 14 consolidation students might be against it, the overall result would be the same-namoly, that the Wilkes Students favored consolidation by a revised vote of 143 to 129. However, it is conceivable that other results
on various questions and therefore interpretations flowing from them, where the voting was close, might be different QUESTION 18.
QUESTION: If you are a proponent of the proposed consolidation which of the following factors do you consider most ndustry bette formation of your decision: new chools, better governmental services, better prestige, better recreational facilities, community police and fire police and fire protection?
This question was answered only bji those who were proponents of the proposed consolidation and together with Question 20 really was the method used to determine how the students stood on the issue. The anti-consolidation students were asked to answer Question 20 rather than Question 18.

|  | Wilkes-Barre | Outside | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industry |  |  |  |
| Governmental services | 39 | 80 | 119 |
| Schools | 21 | $35.7 \%$ |  |
| Recreation | 25 | 44 | 60 |
| Prestige | 19 | $68.2 \%$ |  |
| Governmental economy | 26 | 19 | $33.8 \%$ |
| Police and fire | 20 | 26 | 52 |
|  | 15 | $27.2 \%$ |  |
|  |  | 28 | 47 |
|  |  | $43.1 \%$ |  |
|  |  | $27.9 \%$ |  |

The most important factor influencing the consolidation students was the possibility of attracting new industry. This factor was selected by almost twice as many students as better schools of which most college students would be especially conscious. Next in order were better governmental services, community prestige, increased governmental economy, better police and fire protection, and better recreational facilities.

Whereas new industry was still the foremost factor in helping Wilkes-Barre students make up their minds on consolidation, a note was struck for community prestige, followed by schools. The possibility of better police and fire protection ran a poor last.

Note should be taken that there might be some relationship between Wilkes-Barre students' rating decreasing population second in importance in Question 9 and voting community prestige second in this question. Community prestige rated sixth with the outsiders.

There is likewise a consistency in these consolidation students outside the City rating better governmental services second and rating political corruption second on Question 9. Although better schools was a close third among the Wilkes-Barre students, it was a substantial second among the students living outside of the City.

A cautious word must be spoken about police and fire protection. This factor ran last among the Wilkes-Barre students favoring consolidation and fourth among the people outside the City. Both police and fire protection were put into one factor. Whether the result might have buen different had they been separated is matter for speculation, especially since the students had entirely different feelings about these two governmental services, as revealed by the results in question 4. The question remains unanswered as to whether the students ranked this factor so low because they can not see consolidation improving police coverage or because fire protection is so good that is impossible of improvement.

## QUESTION 19

QUESTION: Do you think consolidation will win anywhere this Do you think consolidation regardiess of your opinion on the subject; year, regardiess of plains, Wilkes-Barre Township, Wilkes-Barre City?
A11 of us at one time have the urge to predict the outcome of an event or happening. This question was inserted into the questionnaire to see if, regardiess of how they felt about the issue, students could prodict the outcome of tho election vote on the
issue as it was placed before the people in the City and the three townships of Plains, Wilkes-Barre, and Hanover. Students were asked not how the vote would go in general, but they were asked to predict the separate vote in each of the 4 municipalities. Under the law, for consolidation to be successful it had to be voted on favorably in the City and each of the separate municipalities. Although the consolidationists won over the people by a tremendous victory, they were not able to put the vote across successfully in the townships. Therefore, the proposed consolidation was lost. Kingston was included in the question, but this was a mistake since there was no vote in Kingston. But it was too late to make the correction, so students were informed to ignore Kingston.
Predictions

Municipality For Wilkes-Barre Outside Total Wilkes-Barre Outside Total

| Hanover | wrong | right | right | right | right | right |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Plains | wrong | right | wrong | wrong | right | right |
| W-B. Township | wrong | wrong | wrong | wrong | wrong | wrong |
| W-B. City | right | right | right | wrong | right | right |

Neither the students for consolidation nor the students against consolidntion could guess $100 \%$ accurately the outcome of the election. The students against were better predicters than those for in that they missed only on Wilkes-Barre Township which they thought would vote pro consolidation, but which did not on election day. In addition to missing Wilkes-Barre Township, the consolidntion also missed out on Plains which they thought would vote for consolidation, but again where it was defeated.

Both categories of students from the City were very poor guessers--consolidationists and anti-consolidationists-in that both could guess right in only one instance out of four. Evidently, they
are not aware of popular opinjon in the outlying areas.
Students living outside Wilkes-Barre, both consolidation and anti-consolidation--missed Wilkes-Barre Township. Apparently, not too many students in the poll had enough of a feeling of the opinions of the people in the Township to predict what they would do on election day.

Only the anti-consolidation students living in Wilkes-Barre guessed wrong on the City itself. Most students thought--89 of 115 anti-consolidation and 122 of 157 consolidation-m the City would vote favorably on the issue.

That the students both for and against consolidation living outside the City were better predicters is shown by the facts below: Municipalities Wilkes-Barre Students Outside Students Tutal

| Hanjver | wrong | right | right |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Plains | wrong | right | right |
| W-B. Township | wrong | wrong | wrong |
| W-B. City | right |  | right |

QUESTION: If you are an opponent of the move for consolidation, which factors influenced you most: lack of a defined policy, increased taxes, loss of local identity, policy, increased taxes, facts, emotional appeals?
This question was answered only by those opposed to consolidation and was the source in determining who the anti-consolidation students really were.

Wilkes-Barre Outside Total
Lack of policy
Increased taxes
Loss of identity
Lack of facts
Emotional appeals

| 8 | 57 | 65 | $56.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | 29 | 36 | $31.3 \%$ |
| 1 | 14 | 15 | $13.0 \%$ |
| 6 | 42 | 48 | $41.7 \%$ |
| 4 | 17 | 21 | $18.2 \%$ |

By far, the factor that most influenced the anti-consolidationIsts was a lack of defined policy on the part of the Commission for Metropolitan Government. More than half of these students rated
that factor as the first. Lack of facts, related to a well defined policy, ranked second. Loss of local identity did not matter much to the anti-consolidation students, indicating that they are not as provincial as first thought.

Lack of a well defined policy was the number one factor among the Wilkes-Barre students against consolidation, followed by

Increased taxes. It is difficult to interpret anything from this. This could mean that these students might be against consolidation because the City might annex liability communities. The arrangement of the factors for the students outside Wilkes-Barre and against consolidation falls into the same lineup as for the general group as a whole.

Of the 14 students who marked loss of identity as a factor in influencing their decision, 6 of them came from Plymouth. This is strange in that not a single Kingston or Hanover person is among the group, and only one from Plains. Natural Sciences accounts for 6 , all of the 14 are males, and 10 are freshmen.

## CONCLUSION

The most risky part of the work of those who sample opinions is to interpret the results. This study was not done for any particular group or organization. Therefore, the interpretations are free from bias from that source. Some of the conclusions may be cause for concern for those who tirelessly worked for or against consolidation. Be that as it may, responsibility for the conclusions must be borne by those making the study.

Wilkes College students favored consolidation by almost 3 to 2 vote, but they could accurately predict its success only $75 \%$. Students living on the outskirts of the City supported consolidation as well as the students living in the City. All classes supported the consolidation, led by the juniors and sophomores. Female students were more for consolidation than the male students. The liberal arts and commerce and finance students supported it, but the education and natural science students did not.

An overwhelmingly large number of Wilkes-Barre students do not know that the City government is the commission form. Students for consolidation are better informed about their form of government than those who opposed consolidation. An appalling number of students freely admitted that they had no knowledge as to whether the municipal government of their community operated at a deficit.

Student regird for fire protection, inside and outside the City, is high; but not so with police protection, schosls, and recreation. All gtudents are severely critical of the latter three municipal services. Students are unaware of any plans for future development of their commities, implying either that such
do not exist or that they are not informed to them. This is all the more serious with Wilkes-Barre, Nanticoke, and Pittston students since plans are in the offering.

Many students would recommend Kingston to their friends as a good town in which to live, although a cynically high number of students do not consider any Wyoming Valley town efficiently managed. Students believe that skilled labor and available transportation attract industry and that the most serious deterrants to industry in the Valley are subsidence and political corruption.

Whereas a majority of students voted for consolidation, they were free in stating that not enough information was given to the public and that the information disseminated was offensive in character. They feel that personal contacts and newspapers are the most important conveyors of information to influence people.

Although secondary media are important in the daily lives of the students, the people they respect and their circle of friends still help to formulate their opinions. In expressing their preferences for kinds of media, it can be said that the students prefer to discuss and read, rather than to see or hear.

Attracting industry was the most important factor to those voting for consolidation, and the lack of a defined policy by the Commission of Metropolitan Government was the deciding factor for those voting against consolidation.
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