

THE BEACON

VOL. XXVII, No. 4

Friday, October 6, 1967

Campus welcomes parents

Viet Nam policies reviewed at heated BEACON debate

The first in a series of Beacon debates was held on Monday, October 2, in Stark 116. The subject was the Vietnam War. Hawks, Harold Cox and Donald Tappa, were opposed by Owen Ireland and Tom Engel, who were disturbed over the historical aspects of the war. A moral argument against the war was presented by Roman Tymchyschyn while Tedj E. Hadj-Chikh expressed a sympathetic attitude towards the Vietcong.

Before the overflowing crowd, each panel member, in a three to five minute summary, stated his position on the Vietnam issue. After the summaries, the panel members questioned each other as to certain effects caused by the war and the validity of observations made by fellow panel members.

Finally, the discussion was opened to the audience who responded by asking the panel questions concerning the reasons for the war and the possible results of a withdrawal. They tried to commit the panel by suggesting some solution. The following is a transcript of the opening remarks of the panel members.

David Frey: "... I'd like to welcome you ... to Stark 116 for the first in a series of Beacon Debates. Tonight's debate is on Vietnam and we have six faculty members here from different fields and who display a very varied opinion on the subject. On my extreme right is Dr. Harold Cox of the History Department (laughter and applause). Next to him is Dr. Tappa of the Biology Department; Dr. Ireland

also of the History Department; Tom Engle, a senior history major and president of Junius-I.R.C.; Roman Tymchyschyn of the Art Department; and Tedj Hadj-Chikh, a graduate student from Algeria.

"I would like to start tonight's debate by first telling you the pattern of the debate. Each member of the panel will give a three to five minute explanation of his position on the war. After that, members of the panel will hand questions ... to me which they would like to ask other members of the panel. After that we will throw the debate open to questions from the floor. So right now I would like to begin with Dr. Cox, who will give his position.

Dr. Cox: "I will be brief. It is, I assume, generally known on campus that if you use arbitrary terms, to refer to positions on the Vietnamese War, that I would fall into a category of a hawk's hawk. I prefer, quite frankly, not to use such terms, because I think there has been entirely too much indiscriminate verbiage used, particularly in the last couple of months, indeed in the last couple of weeks, to a point where the name-calling and the attempts of certain Republicans to gain political prominence in the United States, completely obscure the original issue. Rather than try to give any solution to the problem — I have none — or to even advocate how the war, which we are in at this time, can be won.

"Since I don't really know, I will make three or four general observa-

tions, which some of my colleagues here may wish to get me to elaborate on later in the proceedings. The first concerns the question of the so-called 'Credibility Gap,' which is another one of these terms which has been bounced around rather indiscriminately. I might say the President was elected by an overwhelming majority. He has available, even though I didn't vote for him (laughter), far more information concerning the relationship of this war to world affairs in general and to the Vietnamese situation in particular, than I have. I do not act so presumptuously as to say either the President has been brainwashed, or that he is capable of brainwashing someone else. He has the information. Something like 40 million people voted to let him make the decisions. If they don't like it, I think they should at least not try to undermine him until the election in 1968.

The second point involves the question of security. This generally, involves a heated debate over the question of the so-called "Domino Theory." I will say here, very briefly, that I don't know if all of Southeast Asia will fall if we pull out or not. I am not, however, willing to give it a try to find out.

The third which will require considerable elaboration later, perhaps, is that the people of the United States, I feel, are upset because they are dealing with a form of war here, a sort of limited war, which is totally alien to the glorious American idea that we

(Continued on page 4)

by Carol Okrasinski

The Parents' Day Planning Committee headed by Joe Gatto, president of Student Government, and Bill Bush, president of Interdormitory Council, has announced that the fifth annual Fall Parents' Day will be held tomorrow. Letters of invitation have been sent to all parents. Registration will be held in the new dining hall from 9 to 11 a.m. All campus buildings will be open, guided tours will be available and parents will have an opportunity to visit with the faculty.

At 10 a.m. the soccer team will play

host to Dickinson at Ralston Field. At 11 a.m. seminars concerning academic studies, student activities, and related topics will be held.

An informal buffet luncheon will be served at noon in the College gymnasium, at which time Dr. Farley will address the parents and friends. At 2 p.m. the football team will play host to Delaware Valley at Ralston Field.

The evening program will commence at 8 p.m. with the performance of the Concert Band and the Collegians in the gymnasium.



Student Government President Joe Gatto and IDC President Bill Bush organized this year's Parents' Day.

WELCOME PARENTS

Rec room opens for all Dean's List released for Spring


by Sandra Vici

The Student Recreation Room opened recently for day and dorm students of the College. It is located in the basement of the New Men's Dorm and can be entered either directly from the rear of the dorm or from the lounge behind the caf. It opens at 9 a.m. Monday through Friday and 11 a.m. on Saturday and Sunday. Sunday through Thursday it closes at 11 p.m. while Friday and Saturday it is open until 2 a.m.

The area is divided into three rooms. One contains a color TV and vending machines which will provide sandwiches, hot and cold drinks, and other refreshments. The second room con-

tains a variety of pin ball machines, and the third is a spacious wood paneled room equipped with pool and ping-pong tables, a color TV, juke box, and tables and chairs. This room is large enough for dances, and overhead lighting has been installed for use by a band if desired. Games such as Scrabble and Parcheesi are also available, but a student must present his ID card to obtain one.

The Administration, SG, IDC, and the CCP have worked diligently to provide an area where both day and dorm students can socialize. This facility is for all students and it is expected that students who use this area will take care of it properly.



Pictured above is the main room of the newly opened Student Union.

Nine students achieved perfect averages this spring semester. They were: Donna L. George, A.B. Biology; Barbara Kluchinski, A.B. Biology; Virginia Steckel, B.S. Nursing Ed; Betty A. Dougherty, A.B. English; Nancy L. Hawk, A.B. English; Judith Mistichelli, A.B. English; Joanne T. Shullock, A.B. Math; Thomas L. Grogan, B.S. Accounting; Thomas T. Tomkiewicz, A.B. Psychology.

Other students attaining the Dean's List include:

B.S. Elementary Education: Joseph M. Adomiak, 3.73; Janie Black, 3.40; Marilyn A. Klick, 3.33; Rosalie A. Leone, 3.60; Richard C. Roshong, 3.67; Antoinette Supchak, 3.60; Diane C. Wynne, 3.60.

A. B. Biology: Bernadine Adonizio, 3.50; Robert L. Brown, 3.47; Nona Chiampi, 3.32; Janice Farrell, 3.38; Maureen Flanley, 3.81; Joseph C. Gatto, 3.38; Thomas Giannini, 3.29; Bruce H. Goodman, 3.63; James Johns, 3.56; William G. Kimmel, 3.71; Robert A. Keshner, 3.59; Stuart M. Lonsk, 3.47; John K. Mahon, 3.81; Zdzislawa M. Paciej, 3.38; Ceib Phillips, 3.38; Lee M. Philo, 3.63; David D. Roberts, 3.31; Albert D. Roke, 3.57; Rozanne M. Sandri, 3.78; Juanita J. Skarnulis, 3.33; Mark E. Stair, 3.52; and Andrea Wargo, 3.50.

Biology Education: Ralph Hendershot, 3.35.

A. B. English: Estelle B. Andrews, 3.40; Myrna L. Brodbeck, 3.50; Ca-

mille Broski, 3.40; James M. Calderone, 3.81; Barbara Dorish, 3.40; Elizabeth L. Hague, 3.81; Rose Mary Haydock, 3.63; Patricia A. Luzenski, 3.44; Edith A. Miller, 3.40; Elizabeth A. Ondrey, 3.80; Arlene K. Williams, 3.40; Russell H. Williams, 3.25.

B.S. Chemistry: David P. Baccanari, 3.53; Gary R. Blackburn, 3.53; Thomas A. Cebula, 3.35; Daniel Kopen, 3.50; Michele Kovalchick, 3.37; Elizabeth Malloy, 3.83; John M. Mioduski, 3.60; Stephen C. Polnaszek, 3.83; F. J. Tomeshofski, 3.45; Ira Unger, 3.81; Paul A. Wender, 3.33; Sharyn A. Yanoshak, 3.44.

B.S. Mathematics: Earl J. Balliet, 3.82; Sandra Cardoni, 3.35; Thea Chesluk, 3.44; Lynn A. Devine, 3.53; Albert Eddy, 3.42; Marion Klos, 3.40; Harry Morgan, 3.60; Janice A. Saunders, 3.35; Elizabeth L. Scholl, 3.38; Sandra J. Shevell, 3.59; Mary T. Tinner, 3.56.

B.S. Economics: Joseph G. Bent, 3.26; Sheila Carr, 3.67; Gretchen Hohn, 3.60; Thomas F. Kelly, 3.63; Michael Worth, 3.81.

B.S. Nursing Education: Judith Beyrer, 3.35; Dana Charles, 3.29; Dorothy Eck, 3.44; Nellie C. Ruehlman, 3.40; Catherine A. Thomas, 3.25; Dorothy A. Zakowski, 3.84.

B.S. Physics: Louis B. Bonita, 3.50; Joseph A. Grohowski, 3.83; Gerald Misal, 3.59; John Warunek, 3.65.

A.B. Spanish: Joan Butnor, 3.73. Music Education: Suzanne F. Caez-

za, 3.34; Anita Humer, 3.27; Barbara A. Liberasky, 3.52; Arlene Mezanko, 3.45; Alice Richie, 3.28; Edward M. Shriner, 3.45; Robert C. Sokoloski, 3.39; Carl G. Sponenberg, 3.27.

Philosophy: Elizabeth Caldwell, 3.31; C. Richard Metcalf, 3.40.

French: Linda N. Carle, 3.40; Charlene Collins, 3.40; Patricia A. Haydt, 3.40; Carol A. Pajor, 3.93; Lorraine M. Sokash, 3.60; Lois A. Williams, 3.94.

Fine Arts: Richard L. Dalon, 3.60; Mary Beth George, 3.59; Charlotte L. Peterson, 3.43.

Languages: Daniel S. Davis, 3.40; Diane L. Fleming, 3.44.

Undecided: Mary Ann Demko, 3.44; Patricia R. Doble, 3.38; Helen M. Grochmal, 3.47; Patricia E. Srna, 3.44; Robert A. Welch, 3.33; Lucy Jean Wotring, 3.41.

Business Administration: Bernard P. Evanofski, 3.44; Peter Johnson, 3.60; Joyce Lutson, 3.31; Stephen Raspen, 3.77; Roger Reuben, 3.44; Paul A. Tweedy, 3.38.

Accounting: Dennis Galli, 3.63; Joseph Koslow, 3.40; John R. Miller, 3.33; Howard J. Moses, 3.63; David Speicher, Sr., 3.80.

Political Science: Andrea Gallet, 3.60; Lucia Gerko, 3.56; Thomas W. Jones, 3.56; John H. Kennedy, 3.60; Ann M. Somerville, 3.63.

Sociology: Patricia Golumbaski, 3.40; Richard B. Marselles, 3.38; Jo-

(Continued on page 3)

Editorials

Toward an academic SG

Student Government is presently formulating a new constitution. In addition to a revision of Student Government's influence in extra-curricular activities, this undertaking presents an unlimited opportunity for studying the role of the student in the formulation of academic policy in today's college and discussing the right of the student to assume this responsibility.

The right of the student to rule is disputed by arguments of this nature. Students lack the maturity and experience necessary for such responsibility. Since they have a short-term connection with the College, they have a corresponding loyalty. Students would be disinterested with what goes on at most faculty committee meetings and would have nothing to contribute to them. If the student can do a better job of teaching than the faculty, then he ought to be doing the teaching.

Contrary to these arguments, the student does have a contribution to make to the college community. If participation in these activities is not granted, it is because of the apathy of the student or because the faculty and Administration do not want the conveniences of the present system disrupted.

The present Student Government constitution, which makes no definite provision for the academic development of the student, should be changed by the revision committee to provide communication within the College. This would create a tri-partite council of student, faculty, and Administration for the development of educational policy. The student would then be represented in an official instrument of educational policy.

What happened to apathy?


Last Monday night the Beacon held a debate on Vietnam and was pleasantly surprised to find it necessary to move the discussion from Stark 109 to Stark 116 in order to accommodate the crowd. The students and teachers who came to see this discussion seem to have refuted the charge that this campus is nothing more than a large high school in its apathy towards world affairs. The debate was heated but not hostile and after the debate there was lively discussion going on among members of the audience as they discussed and defended their often diametrically opposed points of view.

When such an intelligent and thoughtful discussion as the one held Monday can occur on the campus, it indicates that the student body has become active and is moving forward. The Beacon has long fought against Campus Apathy; this apathy may no longer exist. We may lose one of our pet topics, but we would like nothing better than that.



What — Where — When

DANCE — Circle K — Gym — Tonight, 9 p.m.-12a.m.
PARENTS' DAY — Tomorrow
SOCCER — Wilkes vs. Dickinson — Home — Tomorrow, 10 a.m.
FOOTBALL — Wilkes vs. Delaware Valley — Home — Tomorrow, 2 p.m.
CONCERT — Wilkes Concert Band — CPA — Tomorrow, 8 p.m.
ALL-COLLEGE SUNDAY — St. John's Lutheran Church — 10:45 a.m.
CONCERT — Peter Nero — Irem Temple — Sunday, 8 p.m.
FILMS — Doubtful Asphodel — Conyngham Annex — Tuesday, 1 p.m.



THE BEACON

Editor-in-Chief David Frey

Associate Editor Paula Eike News Editor Carol Gass

Feature Editor Bruce Fritzges Business Manager Beverly Crane

Sports Editor Bob Thompson Copy Editor Lorraine Sokash

Asst. Copy Editor Chris Sulat Faculty Advisor Edward Wallison

EDITORIAL STAFF

Todd Ashworth, Helen Dugan, Lynn Glomb, Claudia Hoch, Klaus Loquasto, Marian Melnyk, Pat Moir, Irene Norkaitis, Carol Okrasinski, George Pawlush, Daria Petyo, Joel Thiele.

BUSINESS STAFF

Joan Cole, John Harmer, Linda Hoffman, William Klaipts, Michael Klein, Bill Moran, Brian Sickler.

PHOTOGRAPHERS

Tommy Cardillo, Jim Kozemchak

CARTOONIST

Joseph Janoski

Letters to the editor

Tymchyshyn drubs demon dubbers

Dear Editor:

Some remarks prompted by last Friday's screening of Godard's (not Truffaut's, as the headline had it) **Breathless**: One must, first of all thank **Manuscript** for giving us these films (the only big thing wrong here is that they are so few and far between). By sponsoring them, moreover, **Manuscript** (the people) makes up, in part, for the sad neglect of the visual arts on the part of **Manuscript** (the magazine). One may, however, be allowed a few small beefs? ("French" question mark?) Some years ago there was a

bit of debate between opponents and proponents of dubbing. This was quickly resolved, and for obvious reasons, in the former's favor. (The "odor" of a voice isn't translatable; the proponents rested their argument on the ideal case that "never" obtains, for the few exceptions merely serve to prove the general rule.) In the usual case, however, the borrower of a film, who has to make a special request to get a dubbed print, is rewarded for his effort with a cut one. The (dubbed) print of **Breathless** we saw, f. i., was mangled. Again, while the idea of

a coffee break is a fine one, shouldn't this break come in the interval between screenings, when both audiences could mingle, rather than in the middle of the film, as happened (for some reason) last Friday? The days when reel breaks reflected film structure are long gone, after all. One real improvement over last year's programs should be mentioned: the houselights were cut, not just dimmed, as happened all too often in the past. A final (puzzled) query: It seems strange, doesn't it (sometime I'd like to ask the English department just how to punctuate this one) that in a community of this size the three or four separate groups interested in the film aren't working together. Not only is it more than likely that there are things they could learn from each other, but in unity there is increased etc.

Yours, etc.
Roman Tymchyshyn
Dept. of Fine Arts

Tradition-treader tromped

Dear Mr. Prey:

I should please like to know who commissioned you judge, jury, and executioner. Your pompous reviews of skit night reflect only your lack of school spirit and respect for tradition.

Maybe next year as an alternative to skit night you could hold a one man love-in for us.

David Somerset

REPLY: Your letter seems to say in effect — "Who are you to judge

skit night?" My reply is — "Who do you have to be?" If you wanted another reviewer because I was to hard on your asinine little "show and tell," I'm willing to wager that Bosley Crowther would have been considerably more hostile than I was. I also think that even a one-man love-in is better than a multi-dorm gross-out.

Pompously yours,
Mr. O'Prey

Freshmen attend reading classes

by Claudia Hoch

As a part of the orientation program, freshmen are again participating in a book-reading project. This past summer the incoming freshmen read three works: **Lord of the Flies** by William Golding; **Two Cultures**; and a **Second Look** by C. P. Snow; and **Call It Sleep** by Henry Roth. Once each week freshmen meet in individual groups, with an upperclassman presiding, in order to discuss the readings.

Joe Gatto, this year's chairman of the project, has expanded the program's purposes. The program provides an opportunity for incoming freshmen to begin the reading habit early and therefore to better prepare themselves for formal college curriculum. The selection of literature is designed to stimulate thinking, motivate the examination and evaluation of one's own beliefs and develop a set of personal values. The student leader establishes an informal atmosphere and prompts the freshmen to feel free to offer opinions and to develop clarity of expression.

Although it is too early to evaluate this year's entire program, one purpose has already been accomplished; most freshmen have already read the books. Unfortunately this alone is not enough. The majority of freshmen have failed to see the importance of openly discussing and evaluating what they have read. However, these first three weeks are their first initiation into college life and this may account for some of the apparent indifference.

The third week of the program will entail a discussion of the book **Call It Sleep**. The freshmen should have well formulated ideas concerning this book since it has been a topic for discussion as well as composition in the freshman composition classes. The book itself provides a wide range of ideas that deal with the psychological experiences of David Schearl, a young boy who is faced with growing up in the ugly world of the New York slums.

The student discussants handle their classes in various ways. Some find it best to ask controversial questions in order to stimulate diverse opinions while others set up unapparent hypothetical theories to provoke student reaction. Each of the discussants deals with the books in the way he feels will be most beneficial to his respective class.

Some of the discussants, who are

from various fields of study, have seen room for improvement in certain aspects of the program. Miss Eastman feels that "the freshman would show more interest in a better selection of books; therefore, this year's freshman class should have a larger voice in next year's selection of books."

From her sessions with freshmen, Miss Osborne feels the reaction of the freshmen to this year's reading program is for the most part pitiful. There seems to be a general disinterest concerning the choice of books. Unfortunately, since no possible selection of books would ever satisfy students of such varied interest, the noble purpose of the program may never succeed.

This year's selection of books was made by representatives of Student Government in conjunction with English department suggestions. The committee has attempted to include selections from diverse realms of thought, including philosophy, sociology, science, and contemporary literature.

Blood appeal slated soon

The annual fall Blood Drive is scheduled for October 17, in the gym from 9:45 a.m. to 3:45 p.m. The goal is 500 pints of blood. Every individual and every organization should feel a responsibility to put forward his best efforts where human need is concerned.

The drive will be organized on a dormitory and class basis. Students will be asked to make individual appointments to donate blood. In this each student can find a time convenient for him. No individual will be required to spend more than a half hour in giving blood.

It has been that people do not give blood for various reasons: lack of concern, fear, and physical disability. For such a cause there is no excuse for simple negligence. There is also no reason to fear giving blood because the body will replenish the pint in a short time without any ill effects. Physical disability should be the only reason for not giving blood.

With a student body of over 2,000 and a faculty of 130, it is felt that 500 pints can easily be obtained. Certainly one out of five ought to donate a pint of blood so those in need of a life-giving substance might be satisfied.

Presidents' council lacks consideration

Dear Editor:

I am dismayed by the seeming lack of consideration for the educational principles of the College approved by the recent action of the club presidents in planning and concluding arrangements for a forthcoming promotional event to be held on campus.

Perhaps it has been proposed that this organization form a corporation; perhaps it has been recommended that this new organization each week promote for profit a current, popular singing group; perhaps it has been suggested that these conditions might best serve the interests of the student body by providing a fund to eliminate tuition charges, erect a large music hall, and offer admission to Wilkes to the interested number of high school students attracted by such programs.

If my sentiments are incompatible with the aims of the club presidents who represent the student organizations, perhaps it will also be suggested that my educational principles and those of the College are outmoded.

Sincerely,
Alfred S. Groh

Perkus reviewed

Editor's Note: Last week the Beacon printed a letter from Dr. Perkus concerning the College Bookstore. However, we made a grave error and failed to print the first paragraph of his letter. Since this paragraph has a definite effect on the whole tone of the letter, we have printed it below. We apologize for any inconvenience or embarrassment we may have inadvertently caused Dr. Perkus or the Bookstore.

Dear Editor:

Two articles in the September 22 Beacon comment on the need of a college to stimulate intellectual growth. Dr. Farley's speech stresses the role of education in nurturing "intellectual and spiritual values." Dr. Ireland's letter insists that the "most precious gift which can be given by a liberal arts college" is "uninterrupted time to read, to think, to talk, and to write . . ." Wilkes College, I believe, is making sincere efforts to provide various opportunities for intellectual growth. One area, however, in which I know that many members of the faculty and student body agree that improvement is long and sadly overdue is in the quality and quantity of services offered by the College's bookstore.

— NOTICE —

A freshman class meeting will be held next Tuesday at 11 a.m. in the gym. The United Fund and Blood Drives will be discussed. All freshmen must attend.

Sweeper Bill is campus favorite



Bill and his modified sweeper.

There is, of course, on every campus, everybody's favorite teacher, student, or dorm mother. But at Wilkes practically everybody's favorite is a maintenance man named Bill. His full name is Bill Hibbard, the same Bill Hibbard who was born and raised near Montrose an indeterminable number of years ago. A little old man about Santa Claus' age, but more the size of one of his elves, he has relatively few hairs on his head and those few are white. He assumes a very quiet air, but has a twinkle in his eye which is noticeable to anyone who has ever talked with him. He sort of resembles a Hummel figure — short and plump and white of hair, with rosy cheeks and a great big smile. He also has a very sharp sense of humor, never misses a chance to joke, and in practice is a small-scale tease.

Bill will tell you about his cow-milking days near Montrose, but nowadays he will be found, not with a milk pail, but near a broom or the big sweeping machine, "the sweeper," as he calls it. That's his rig. He sits up there wearing his current hat. It used to be that he never was seen without a cigar in his mouth, smiling around it; but he's given up smoking. Guess he thought it would stunt his growth. So now he has no pretext for sitting in the cafeteria. He's become very open in his admiration for the female side of life. He always offers the girls a ride on his sweeper. And for almost every girl who passes by "his" table in the Commons, usually the table by the steps to the lounge, he has a big smile and a "Hi, honey" or "Hello there, tootsie!!" He is exhilarating to a girl's ego. He always notices a new dress or a hair-style. And he is aware of more subtle changes. "You look tired, honey. Why don't you go home and get some sleep?" or "What's the matter, sweetie, lost your love life?" On days like those he presents a cookie, saved from his lunch, or a candy bar for a little spurt of energy. In other words, on days like those, he just makes life seem bearable.

That poor wife of his. She must not know about the time Bill spends with all those other women. To think that the beautiful lunches she packs so painstakingly are half eaten, half given away. Bill says she went to England

this summer. They have relatives over there. He really looked lonely while she was away, even with his other women.

Bill is very fickle about hats. He has fishing hats, hunting hats, and just plain head-coverers. He buys a new one about once a month. Of course there's one hat he never wears to work — that's his Shriner's cap. If hats could be shined he'd shine it. When he saw that his picture was being taken for the **Beacon**, his comment was: "Darn — I **knew** I should have gotten a new hat last week." So by this time that hat is probably a has-been, or, to pun a phrase, a hat-been.

The next time you see Bill fly by at 5 mph on his hot rod sweeper, check him out. See if he doesn't have a new hat on, and just see if he won't wave and give you the biggest smile you ever had.

Boxtops appearance arranged by Council

The Council of Club Presidents, composed of leaders of all clubs on campus, under the co-chairmanship of Judy Simonson and Tom Engle, has plans underway for a fall concert.

The concert will feature THE BOX TOPS with EDDIE DAY AND THE NIGHT-TIMERS. THE BOX TOPS are well known for their sensational hit — THE LETTER . . . "Give Me a Ticket for an Airplane." EDDIE DAY AND THE NIGHT-TIMERS have performed locally at Harvey's Lake, San Souci Park and other local high spots. The presentation will be held on October 21 at 8:30 p.m. at the gym. Come to the concert to get the spirit a week before Homecoming.

Tickets will be on sale at the following places and locations:

Bookstore (Reserve seats sold in Bookstore **ONLY**).

Spruce Record Shop, Scranton.

Book & Card Mart, Wilkes-Barre.

Capitol Theater, Hazleton.

Reserve seats will cost \$3.50 while \$3.00 and \$2.50 will be the cost of general admission.

Refreshments will be served.

GET YOUR TICKETS NOW AND AVOID THE RUSH!

Two Off Campus Bookstores . . .

- Barnes & Nobel College Outline Series
- Full Line of School Supplies
- Cards and Gifts for All Occasions

Student Accounts Available

DEEMER'S

251 WYOMING AVENUE, KINGSTON — 6 WEST MARKET STREET, WILKES-BARRE

Prof finds happy home

by Lynn Glomb

Wilkes welcomes a new assistant professor in English, Dr. Gerald H. Perkus. He taught a course in freshman composition this summer and is currently teaching Victorian poetry, world literature, and speech.

Before he came to this college Dr. Perkus taught for three years at Babson Institute, a college of business administration in Wellesley, Massachusetts. He completed his undergraduate study at Brooklyn College in 1959 and was awarded a National Defense Act Fellowship to attain his doctorate from the University of Rochester.

Dr. Perkus stated that he found Wilkes students interested in learning and more highly motivated than the business students he had taught previously. He was also impressed by his colleagues in the English department; the helpfulness and friendliness of these people helped him to adjust to his new position.

He finds it refreshing to teach electives and finds that in these advanced courses, students show a willingness to tangle with abstract ideas. He is



Dr. Gerald Perkus

impressed by the conscientiousness of students and has found them very willing to volunteer in discussion.

Dr. Perkus was editor of a trade newspaper in New York for a time, and also served as faculty advisor of the Babson **Executive**. When asked to comment on the **Beacon**, he cautioned that he had seen only a few

issues but approved that the paper was taking a crusading position regarding important issues. He warned that a good school paper must remember not to take a negative attitude and to recognize the good aspects of school events. He was pleased to see the ad for the recent Vietnam debate, since he believes that college students should be involved in political issues. "This type of discussion is important in developing the student's critical ability, and it may eventually influence national policy."

Dr. Perkus is an advocate of independent research, especially in the humanities. He supports all movements the College is making toward having seminars in addition to lectures. At these informal sessions, students not only report on their work, but learn to evaluate it objectively. He is involved in research in Victorian poetry, and he has submitted an article based on his thesis for publication.

Dr. Perkus selected Wilkes because it is relatively small and offers more opportunity for general dialogue, a necessary element for education. "I find it a very stimulating place to be," he said. "I'm happy here."

French dept meets Miss Marcks

by Gene Santarelli

Miss Jill Marcks, who began her teaching career at the College this semester, is the newest member of our French department. She is formerly from New York City and is now residing in Kingston.



Miss Marcks

While Miss Marcks was attending Vassar College, she received an A.B. in English. After graduation she spent a summer in Paris, where she learned to speak French fluently. When she returned to the States, Miss Marcks enrolled in Hunter College in New York and graduated with an M.A. in French. Asked why she received a master's degree in French instead of English, Miss Marcks gave two reasons. The first is that she immensely enjoys speaking the French language.

The second reason is that in Europe, everyone is constantly exposed to many different languages, and because of this exposure, a number of various languages can be learned with a fair degree of easiness. However, as Miss Marcks explained, in the United States such an exposure does not exist, and she wants her students to have the same chance to learn a foreign language through exposure as a European has.

Miss Marcks chose to teach at the college level because she enjoys working with college students. She finds them more individualistic and just "easier to talk to" than any other age group involved in education. She chose Wilkes in particular because there is a mixed student body, the college is small, and the local area is not congested as New York City.

Besides teaching five French 101 courses, Miss Marcks is busy taking care of a flock of pet turtles and looking for someone to teach her the Charleston.

The **Beacon** welcomes Miss Marcks to our faculty, and wishes her the best of luck in her new career and her first teaching position.

Dean's List

(Continued from page 1)

anne M. Skiba, 3.40.

Secretarial Education: Barbara A. Graytock, 3.47; Woodrow Herron, 3.70.

Psychology: Molly Hopkins, 3.40; Anthony C. Orsi, 3.63; Janice T. Parsons, 3.40; Joseph J. Sabatini, 3.33; Stanley Urbanowicz, 3.33.

German: Anetta Hawthorne, 3.38; Barbara R. Morrison, 3.94.

History: James H. Murray, 3.40; John Pilosi, Jr., 3.80; Rosalie T. Reino, 3.32; May A. Voorhees, 3.50; Gerald F. Weber, 3.70.

Social Studies: Donald G. Reese, 3.73.

B.S. Commerce and Finance: Nicholas S. Reynolds, 3.50; Albert C. Williams, 3.83.

Electrical Engineering: Raymond P. Rizzo, 3.59; Kenneth E. Thomas, 3.61.

— NOTICE —

Anyone interested in entering a team in intramural football should submit rosters as soon as possible to Mr. Reese at the gym. Play will begin shortly. Also, anyone wishing to serve as an official see Mr. Reese or Bernie Vinvoski, student director.

and now... JADE 東 EAST CORAL

A NEW AFTER SHAVE & COLOGNE

AFTER SHAVE from \$2.50
COLOGNE from \$3.00
SWANK Inc.—Sole Distributor

Datemaker

Should Girls Shop Around?

No need to when Datemaker has NOT 1.. NOT 2.. but ALL the famous names in clothes you girls want for school. Come see them!

61 SOUTH MAIN WILKES-BARRE

Faculty debate cont'd

never start one, we never stop until we have won one, and we give it everything we got along the way. This, unfortunately, is no longer possible. I think we've got to live with the new forms and we've got to learn to adjust to them. The fourth, I might note, is a remarkable tendency on the part of some of those opposed to the war to pick and choose among wars when they are deciding which ones in which the United States should actively intervene, and in which ones the United States should not actively intervene. I have not yet quite figured out the rationale of this."

David Frey: "Next I would like to call on Dr. Ireland."

Dr. Ireland: "I'm afraid I lack Dr. Cox's humility (mild laughter). I am generally perturbed about the present situation in Vietnam. And I generally operate on the assumption that what our leaders tell us is relatively true. But they have told us so many different things, that I can't really decide which is the answer. We are told that we are in Vietnam to defend democracy, only there never was democracy there. And we are told that we are there to stop an international Communist conspiracy, which very few people believe in any more. And we are told that we are there to honor our commitments — commitments which we didn't have until we went there to honor them."

"We are told that we are there to insure that our allies will always trust us in the future, and yet most of our allies are opposed to or indifferent to our participation in the war. We are told that we are there to allow a small nation to provide for its own future, free from outside interference, but it seems to me that one of the greatest factors interfering with the future of South Vietnam is the presence of the United States. We are also told that we are there to prevent another Munich, to prove to the world that aggression doesn't pay, and that appeasement in the long run doesn't work. The Secretary of Defense, Mr. McNamara, admitted in March of 1965, that there were no North Vietnamese troops in South Vietnam until after the United States began to bomb North Vietnam and until after the introduction of American troops."

"In addition, this whole conception of one power against another is based upon the fallacious assumption that there are two independent nations. The only rationale, the only justification of the existence of two nations is that the United States created one of them, after we went in and we needed an explanation as to why we were there. Now it seems to me, that most of these reasons which are offered are difficult to accept. There is one final reason which is not generally offered, publicly, to the American people, but which seems to be talked about in a sub-rosa way — that we are there to maintain a balance of power in Asia, to prevent the future hegemony of China, and to protect American strategic and economic rights in the Western Pacific. Now, if this is true, and I'm not sure that it is, but if it's true, and if we are there, principally or solely, for the purpose of a balance of power, and if there are no moral considerations involved, then it seems to me we bet on the wrong horse. We backed the French against the Chinese in 1950 — this is based on the assumption that Ho Chi Minh is a Chinese puppet — we backed the French against Ho Chi Minh in 1950, and Ho Chi Minh won. And then we backed Diem against Ho Chi Minh in 1954-1963, and Ho Chi Minh won again. And then we backed Canh against Ho Chi Minh, and Canh lost. And then we started to bet on Minh, but then we found out that he was willing to talk to the National Liberation Front, so we got rid of him. And then, we are presently betting on Ky, who doesn't seem to be doing much better than France or Diem or Canh or any of the other temporary governments which were in existence. So, it would

seem to me, that from any point of view that you consider it, that a basic mistake has been made someplace. And that if we are really there to prevent the spread of Chinese influence in Southeast Asia, that the United States should reverse itself and on the pure considerations of Machiavelli and power politics, that we should begin to back Ho Chi Minh, who seems like a winner. This would solve a great many problems, since we would immediately be able to identify the enemy — anyone with a South Vietnamese uniform on. Particularly the generals, we know most of them. We could shoot them very quickly. Ho Chi Minh has no love for the Chinese; there's been 2,500 years of bitter controversy between the Chinese and the Vietnamese. There's every reason to believe that Ho Chi Minh would make a more valuable ally to the United States than Mr. Ky — Marshal Ky, excuse me. And, it seems to me, that with much less cost in terms of American money and American personnel, that we might very well build a strong bulwark against Chinese southern expansion by buttressing Mr. Ho. Thank you."

David Frey: "Thank you, Dr. Ireland. Our next speaker will be Tom Engle."

Tom Engle: "Not having the advantage of a Ph.D. degree in history, which the two previous speakers both have, I've had to limit myself to a relatively small aspect, rather than attempting to outline the entire reasons for and against the Vietnam War."

"In my opinion, a brief outline of the American actions as compared to the stated objectives in the political and military arenas of Vietnam, suggests three things. One, our policy in Vietnam, to date, has basically been a failure. Two, the Johnson policy has resorted to escalation in the face of peace overtures by both North Vietnam and/or third parties. And that the so-called threat of neutralization attempts, by political and religious factions in the South, have resulted in this policy by Johnson to escalate the war. And three, the American military machine has been called upon to bring North Vietnam to their knees before any peaceful settlement will be considered by the United States. The first of these dates from 1954 with the fall of Dien Bien Phu. The United States, it seems to me, has been in direct violation of the Geneva Protocol, even though, as it so states, it did not sign that Protocol. However, it did note 'The United States will refrain from the threat or the use of force to disturb' the agreement signed at Geneva. Actions by the United States government have included: 1. the support of the then-president Diem, not to have free elections in South Vietnam, as called for in the Geneva Protocol. Also, 2. are the increase in military aid beginning in 1954, first in the form of military advisors, and second in the form of outright military equipment. And 3. in the signing of the Southeast Asian Treaty Organization by the then-Secretary of State Dulles. All three of these actions, by the United States, were in direct violation to the Geneva agreement. I also feel we move into the second area which is called the 'Credibility Gap,' and basically separates what President Johnson says in his speeches — and when I say Johnson, I also have to include Secretary Rusk and Secretary McNamara — basically that we want to have a peaceful settlement to the Vietnam War. However, the facts tend to indicate at each point in Vietnam that the people in the South, mainly led by religious factions from the Buddhist quarter, have sought neutralization of South Vietnam in Southeast Asia, or with Ho Chi Minh or any other third parties, have attempted to de-escalate the war in favor of a peaceful settlement. The United States has found it opportune to escalate the war, either by additional troop strength or bombing. Because of the fact that the American military machine has been called upon to bring North Vietnam to their



Pictured above are members of the panel of Monday evening's debate. From left to right are: Dr. Harold Cox, Dr. Donald Tappa, Dr. Owen Ireland, David Frey, Tom Engle, Mr. Roman Tymchysyn and Mr. Tedj Hadj-Chikh.

knees, I have the feeling now that the political efforts by the United States have been completely overruled by the military efforts, and now that we have reached the condition where it's almost impossible in the military mind of this country not to attempt to completely destroy North Vietnam. The consequences of this, I suspect, will be brought out this evening."

David Frey: "Thank you, Tom. The next speaker on the list is Dr. Tappa."

Dr. Tappa: "Up to a year, perhaps eighteen months ago, I found myself basically in agreement with our position in Vietnam, and I found myself essentially concurring with a summary which President Johnson presented in the rather famous speech in Baltimore in April of 1965. This book by Marguerite Higgins entitled OUR VIETNAM NIGHTMARE contains reference to this speech and in three short paragraphs, I'd like to read. I think it shows the general attitude the United States has had and is one of the reasons, as I said, I supported our position in Vietnam. 'Why are we in Vietnam? We are there because we have a promise to keep. Since 1954, every American president has offered support to the people of South Vietnam. Thus, over many years, we have made a national pledge to South Vietnam to defend its independence. To dishonor that pledge, to abandon this small and brave nation to its enemies and to the terror that must follow, would be an unforgivable wrong.'

"We are also there to strengthen world order. Around the globe from Berlin to Thailand are people whose well-being rests, in part, on the belief that they can count on us if they are attacked. To leave Vietnam to its fate would shake the confidence of all these people in the value of an American commitment, and in the value of America's word.' This is, as I understand it, an expression of the 'Domino Theory.' The result would be increased unrest and instability and even wider war. There are great stakes in the balance. Let no one think for a moment that retreat from Vietnam would bring an end to conflict. The battle would be renewed in one country and then another. The central lesson of our time is that the appetite for aggression is never satisfied. To withdraw from one battlefield is to prepare for the next.' As I indicated, I found myself essentially in agreement with this position. However, since this time, I found my own condition somewhat wavering. But I think for reasons quite unlike those expressed, for example, by Dr. Ireland. As a biologist and, I hope, a civilized, educated person, I am sure that you would all be in agreement with this. Warfare is obviously inane. It's stupid. It is the complete antithesis of what we expect civilized people to do. From a biological standpoint, if I may digress for a moment, it is perhaps even more inane, because when one looks at the genetics of warfare, the soldiers that go to war are generally the best of our potential reproductive stock. These are the ones that are eliminated in warfare. The 4-F's stay at home. From an ecological standpoint, obviously it represents a complete waste of our natural resources. Again, completely inane. Not to mention, of course, the

sociological, psychological problems of disrupted families, disrupted schooling, the economic problems of higher taxes and inflation, and in the case of the Vietnams, both North and South, the devastation of already fairly weak economies. However, I felt that if national purpose, for reasons that are obscure, as Dr. Cox mentioned, I am not in a position to read the reports coming across the President's desk every day and I assume that what the President tells us is true, if national purpose sees it necessary to go to war, I essentially back this policy. But, I am of the impression that the war must be carried out as efficiently as possible. I don't believe we have been doing this in Vietnam. We certainly have not been doing this in Vietnam. I hope my position seems logical to you. If warfare is inane, if we do go to war, get it over with as soon as possible. This has not been taking place in Vietnam as far as I can see, so I find myself in a position of more-or-less sitting on the fence, of late. Certainly, we don't have a war in Vietnam now — it's a muddled situation. And I believe that the reason for the muddledness that we find there is that the person who is running it is one of the most fantastically successful politicians of our time, and this is no way to run a war. I think, to put my viewpoint into capsule form, I can give you a classic phrase: 'War is hell, but this is one hell of a war.'"

David Frey: "Thank you, Dr. Tappa. The next person on my list is Tedj Hadj-Chikh."

Mr. Tedj Hadj-Chikh: "My position on the war of Vietnam can be briefly stated as follows: I am completely sympathetic to the Vietcong."

"To understand my position, I have to inform you that I am an exchange student here, and I am from Algeria and that that country just barely three or four years ago came out of a colonial war which Vietnam was also a victim of by the same domination, that of France. Now I shouldn't say that I am completely sympathetic to the Vietcong. I was, when I first came to this country. Five years after, that is now, I feel almost sympathetic to them, not completely. Not that that makes me more sympathetic to the American position. I do, of course, understand better your position in Vietnam, but understanding in my language does not mean approval. Less sympathetic to the Vietcong because I think they are the ones who are the fools after all. They let themselves be played by China or Russia and the United States. I am still sympathetic to them in the sense that they are fighting for their freedom, as they understand it, and they believe that it is an imperialist war and a racial war, terms that you are familiar with, but which mean different things coming out of different mouths. We leave the rest of the debate to clarify what they mean by imperialism. It would take a long time to explain to nonwestern people just what you mean by freedom or democracy as you understand it. I think that is all I have to say. For the moment, that is."

David Frey: "Thank you, Tedj. The last speaker on my list is Roman Tymchysyn from the art department."

Mr. Tymchysyn: "Well you have

just heard where I come from so by definition I am not an expert in this field, even though I would not really accept the world view which holds that. Still, I can't take on too much so my position is basically a purely moral position, but as you shall note it is not really quite that straightforward. I am merely using something I wrote some time ago, when I was still a student, for a student newspaper. But it still applies."

"In discussions of the American involvement in Vietnam, the critic of U.S. policy encounters a number of arguments again and again. Among those that are not placed by their very terms entirely outside the realm of civilized discourse, three tend to recur. 1., that U.S. bombs are no more evil than the F.L.N. guns; 2., that in any cause the U.S. is there for entirely selfless reasons, for even if it wants to further its own ways, it is only because these have shown their worth as proved by the high American standard of living; and 3., apart from any initial justification or lack of justification for the American presence there, if we pull out now it would mean loss of face, that a withdrawal is therefore an unthinkable proposition tantamount to an admission of error or as some people would say defeat. Both, such people as raise these arguments, and such as find them difficult to answer, might conceivably profit from a study of the following three examples. Number one, subtitled 'On Deeds and Doers,' which is actually an abbreviation of an editorial from the Manchester Guardian which is one of the major newspapers of Britain. 'Through error, U.S. aircraft destroyed a friendly village in South Vietnam.' Well, mistakes will happen even in bombing raids intended, as President Johnson has so often put it, to increase the confidence of the brave people of South Vietnam (laughter). But suppose that it had been no mistake, suppose that Deduc had really been in enemy hands, people would have gotten killed just the same, old men and women and small children among them, but then we would not have heard as much or anything about the raid. That is the difference. For villagers in both North and South Vietnam are suffering day after day the fate of the inhabitants of Deduc. It is the price the southerners have to pay for the privilege of being ruled by Air Vice Marshal Nguyen Cao Ky and the penalty the northerners have to pay for the crime of being ruled by President Ho Chi Minh. Many Americans, as friends of the United States, could confidently believe that this kind of warfare does no honor to their country. Unfortunately, they are always being told not to overestimate their numbers. Most of them would probably agree for the satisfaction of Mr. Rusk, that assassinations by the Vietcong are evil too. But they and the rest of us in the West are not responsible for other people's crimes, only for our own. For as far as I'm concerned, at least we are more responsible for our crimes than for other people's crimes."

"Parable number two, 'On Standards of Living,' and this is quoted from the major Australian newspaper in Sydney through the periodical, The Mi-

(Continued on page 5)

Wilkes edges Upsala 3-2

by Richard Delvino

Led by Tri-Captain Rich Beck's three goals, the Wilkes Colonels scored their initial victory of the season over the Upsala Vikings Saturday by a score of 3-2. After a loss to Madison F.D.U. and a tie with Moravian, the Colonels exhibited the teamwork that was indicative of last year's 10-2 team.

As in the past two games, Wilkes fell behind early. With 1:51 remaining in the first period, Upsala opened the scoring as Carl Swanson scored on a penalty kick. Midway through the second period the Colonels countered as Beck lofted a 40-yard boot that sailed over the outstretched arms of Viking goalie Art Anderson. Upsala took the halftime lead as Giuseppe Salese dribbled through the defense and lined a 10-yarder into the net. The third period saw Wilkes storm back to knot the score, as Beck smashed a shot perfectly in the left corner for the score with 17:29 remaining. One minute later Don Spruck passed to Beck crossing in front of the goal and he booted it in, putting the Colonels ahead permanently.

Though the score was close, the Colonels displayed a blistering offensive attack as shown by their 42 goals to the Vikings' seven. Wilkes received exceptional performances from half-backs Dave Thomas and Jim Kennedy. Both were instrumental in setting up the Colonels' offense. Thomas also had six shots-on-goal. The Colonels will be after their second victory tomorrow morning at 10 o'clock as they host the Dickinson Red Devils.

WILKES	UPSALA
Beck	OL Tolhurst
Santo	IL J. Anderson
Keifer	CF Salese
Tarbert	IR Odugbesan
Spruck	OR Korres
Thomas	LH Purple
Kennedy	CH DiFabrizza
Benson	RH Swanson
Bogusko	LB Conrad
Yaremko	RB Horner
Rokita	G A. Anderson

Substitutions — Wilkes: Murphy, Harmes, Ralston; Upsala: Higinbatham, Smolensky, Tourasetti, Matusza.

Scoring — Wilkes: Beck 3; Upsala: Swanson, Salese.

Upsala	1	1	0	0-2
Wilkes	0	1	2	0-3

GIRLS WHO EDIT THE
"BEACON" WEAR CLOTHES
FROM
"THE CLOTHES HORSE"
Exclusive Purveyors of
Villager — Ladybug

COLLEGE
CHARMS—RINGS
BROOCHES
MINIATURE RINGS
AND
CHARM BRACELETS
FRANK CLARK
JEWELER

Shop at ...
GRAHAMS
FOR YOUR SCHOOL SUPPLIES
96 SOUTH MAIN STREET
WILKES-BARRE
Phone: 825-5625



The Colonel offense is shown above setting up a first-quarter scoring attempt. From left to right are: Joe Kiefer (IL), Rich Beck (OL), and John Santo (IR).



Dan's still our man

by Todd Ashworth

Two-time world champion Jim Clark took the lead from a fading Graham Hill to win the United States Grand Prix for the second year in a row at Watkin's Glen last Sunday. The flying Scotsman showed his extraordinary driving skill and the reliability of the new Lotus Ford in winning the race by over 25 seconds. His teammate Graham Hill was second in another Lotus Ford. Denis Hulme of New Zealand was third in a Brabham.

Near the end of the race Chris Amon pushed his blood-red Ferrari into a firm second place until the Italian car, so long out of the winner's circle in recent years, retired with a blown engine. The only other cars that were competitive were the John Surtees-driven Honda and the Dan Gurney-driven A. A. R. Eagle.

The Honda had plenty of horsepower but was 200 pounds overweight and would not have been competitive at all were it not for the masterful driving of John Surtees. The Eagle, however, was another story; it was an extremely strong car with plenty of power, good handling, and a gifted driver, Dan Gurney. It moved from its starting position of third place to second place in two laps and stayed close to Clark until, in the twenty-fifth lap, his oil pump failed (he was plagued by the same problem in practice) and A. A. R. Eagle was forced to retire.

Seminar held

Recently, Mr. Chwalek held his first Senior Employment Seminar. Every senior must submit to the Placement Office a card containing his name, address, telephone number, major field, and date of graduation whether January, June, or September. Senior folders should also be completed and submitted. Mr. Chwalek stated that all references should be made by members of the College faculty.

The daily bulletin will contain the names of companies that plan to visit the College. The student must come to the Placement Office and make an appointment for a particular interview, which will be 20 or 30 minutes. Dress for all interviews must be: business suits, proper haircuts, and clean shaven. Women are to dress appropriately. Interviews will start in October with the bulk of the interviews between January 20 and May 1. Mr. Chwalek emphasized the importance of showing to the interviewer that you are capable and willing to work.

Also, Mr. Chwalek announced that another Senior Employment Seminar will be held. The date will be posted. At this meeting a representative of industry will discuss the importance of the interview in securing employment.

All of the cars were well prepared and well driven, but then that is the essence of Grand Prix racing.

In case you haven't noticed, we have a favorite driver — Dan Gurney. Dan was the only American driver at the Glen. He had an American car, and this was, after all, the Grand Prix of the United States. If Dan had won, it would have been another first for this driver.

You might be interested in knowing that Wilkes College was there at the race in force and that most of the students who attended were firm backers of Dan. As a matter of fact, four of them took a four and one-half by twenty-four foot sign. It consisted of two AAR (Mr. Gurney's company) insignias with the words "Go Dan" between them. After the race Mr. Gurney was kind enough to autograph this sign with a can of spray paint. The four reported that they will return next year with an even larger sign.

WANTED
Male Upperclassman
To share 3 Bedroom Lake Cottage
REASONABLE
Call 639-5713 after 9:30 P.M.

FOR COMPLETE SHOE SERVICE
CITY SHOE REPAIR
• • •
18 W. NORTHAMPTON STREET
WILKES-BARRE

Faculty Debate

(Continued from page 4)

nority of One. 'Sydney: The American economy has been greatly stimulated by the war in Vietnam, the Secretary General of the International Chamber of Commerce, Mr. Walter Hill, said in Sydney yesterday.' While reading this news item our mind wanders to the argument which the social critic so often hears, that America's high standard of living proves a way to be right, and also to Al Capone's who is reputed to have lived on a very high standard indeed.

Three, called 'On Saving Face,' and it is translated from Guenter Anders' (the German philosopher) the German rendition of the moral spelling bee for Molussian students. This was written in 650 B.C.:

"Now that we have started our bloody job the forces of order of Molussia announce officially and publicly through the mouth of its boss, who cannot back down without losing face, on the contrary, now more than ever we cannot back down." No matter whether they ever had a face which they could lose, what counts are the following three questions and answers. One, whose eyes could be meant by killers when they are afraid of losing face in eyes of others by not continuing the bloody war? Answer: Only the eyes of other killers. Two, what do they imply in impute by issuing their declaration officially and publicly? Answer: They imply and impute by announcing their bloody job they would lose face in the eyes of everybody. Thus in our eyes too. And thus that their way of death is our way of life. Three, are they entitled thus to disgrace us? Answer: This question can be answered only by our actions."

BOOK & CARD MART
10 S. MAIN ST., WILKES-BARRE

GREETING CARDS
CONTEMPORARY CARDS
Phone: 825-4767
BOOKS—PAPERBACKS & GIFTS
RECORDS—PARTY GOODS

ACE HOFFMAN
Studios and Camera Shop
PORTRAIT, COMMERCIAL AND
AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHERS
CAMERAS AND PHOTO SUPPLIES

16 W. MARKET ST.
WILKES-BARRE, PA.
Phone: 823-6177

Skiers plan Vermont Trip

A group of students met recently in order to form a ski club on campus. The members decided to order membership cards and ski patches, and dues for membership were set at \$2.50 for the skiing season. Members of the club are entitled to a 20 per cent discount on all ski equipment purchased at Louis-Duncan sporting goods store. The group also discussed a trip to Vermont this winter.


The second meeting of the club will be held on Wednesday, October 11, at 6 p.m. in Stark 116. The agenda of this meeting will include nominations and election of officers, further discussion of plans for local ski trips and out-of-state jaunts, and collection of dues. Ski lessons will be made available from experienced members of the club. For further information, students are asked to contact Sam M. Wolfe, 823-8790.




Don't be left out. Join the Ski Club.

Swingline Ratty Rorschachs

Test yourself...
What do you see in the ink blots?

- 

[1] A sizzling steak?
Ten dancers?
A rabbit?
- 

[2] A lantern?
A moth?
TOT Staplers?
(TOT Staplers! What in...)

This is a Swingline Tot Stapler


98¢
(including 1000 staples)
Larger size CUB Desk Stapler only \$1.69
Unconditionally guaranteed.
At any stationery, variety, or book store.
Swingline INC.
LONG ISLAND CITY, N.Y. 11101

ANSWERS: 1. If you see the steak: go on a diet. Ten dancers: No wonder you funk the New Math in high school! A rabbit: Grow up; you should have given up Mother Goose long ago. 2. A lantern: We heard already. Paul, The British are coming! A moth: You're right. TOT TOT

15th straight victory

Colonels conquer greyhounds 22-12

by Chuck Lengle

The Colonels rolled to a 22-12 decision over the Moravian Greyhounds before an estimated 6,500 fans last Saturday afternoon. Two school records were broken in outstanding individual performances by Danny Malloy, who intercepted five of Moravian's 40 pass attempts, and Joe Skvarla, who was on the receiving end of seven passes for a total of 90 yards. Malloy's feat broke the record for pass thefts in one game and puts him in a good position to break Brinley Varchol's season record of five interceptions.

The Schmidtmens, now 15-0 over the past three years, took the opening kickoff and quickly marched to the Moravian three yard line where a fumble halted the drive. The Colonels contracted "fumbleitis" in the game, fumbling the ball on six different occasions.

Three plays later, Malloy made the first of his record-breaking interceptions as he pilfered a Jim Dietz pass on the Greyhound 47 yard line. Joe Zakowski directed the Colonels to paydirt, plunging over from the one yard stripe on a keeper. Dave Kaschak made good on the PAT and the Colonels were out in front to stay 7-0.

During the next Colonel series, Zakowski was injured on what appeared to be deliberate piling on by the Greyhounds. Zakowski was shaken up and Coach Schmidt inserted Joe Frappolli at quarterback. Rick Simonson, the other available QB, was playing defense in place of the injured Jay Holliday. Frappolli, long considered a fine runner and a poor passer, quickly completed six of seven aerials for 68 yards and two touchdowns. Several of his completions to Skvarla were spectacular as the rangy end leaped high into the air to snare Frappolli's "interception-proof" passes.

Frappolli's first TD pass was to Doug Forde from the four yard line with 12:54 left in the half. The PAT was led to the right and the Colonels wide 13-0.

Minutes later, Malloy made his second theft, leaping high into the end

zone to prevent a Moravian touchdown. After the Wilkes drive stalled, Moravian started to move the ball but Malloy was "Johnny-on-the-spot" again and intercepted a Dietz pass on the Moravian 35. It seemed as if Malloy were the primary receiver in the pattern because Dietz threw the ball right to him.


Again Frappolli went to the air and hit Skvarla in the end zone with 3:17 left in the half. Skvarla's catch was a leaping, one-hand job that would have made a professional proud. After Kaschak converted, the score read 20-0. Malloy intercepted the ball again at the midfield stripe before the half ended but the Colonels were unable to take advantage of it. This was Malloy's fourth interception of the game and broke the single-game record of three held jointly by Joe Wiendl and P. J. Kane.

As well as the Colonels played in the first half, the second half was a complete reversal. The offense was unable to put together any kind of a sustained drive as Coach Schmidt desperately groped for a successful combination. Wilkes opened the second-half scoring when Bill Layden and "Pepper" Merrill broke through the Greyhound line in a savage burst and nailed Dietz in the end zone for a safety.

Coach Rocco Calvo's squad finally hit paydirt with 3:17 left in the third quarter when Dietz hit flanker Roger Knisley from the five for the TD. Gary Hegel tackled Dietz before he could even set up for the PAT and the score remained 22-6.

With 14:02 left in the game, Malloy picked off his fifth interception, this one from substitute quarterback Greg Seyfert. On the next Greyhound series, P. J. Kane stopped a Moravian drive by intercepting a Seyfert pass on the Colonel 15. Hegel also got into the act when he intercepted a Seyfert pass later in the game.

With 18 seconds remaining on the clock, Seyfert scored on a keeper which the Colonels violently protested. The Wilkes protest was based on the



Joe Zakowski jaunts in from the one, scoring Wilkes' first touchdown early in the first quarter after Joe Roszko and Ed Burke (71) opened a big hole in the Moravian line.

fact that Seyfert's head and not the ball crossed the end line. The officials ruled in favor of the Greyhound touchdown and the gun sounded with the Colonels on top, 22-12.

The Moravian squad played a rough game, with the result that the Colonels sustained many injuries and the victory was a costly one for Coach Schmidt with the availability of a few starters in doubt for next week's contest. The Colonels entertain the Aggies of Delaware Valley this Saturday in a Parents' Day affair. The game should be a good one and will be crucial because both teams need a victory to remain in contention for the championship in the Northern Division.

Scoring by quarters:

WILKES	7	13	2	0	— 22
MORAVIAN	0	0	6	6	— 12

STATISTICS:

	Wilkes	Moravian
First Downs	16	22
Yards Rushing	156	145
Passes Attempted	6	40
Passes Completed	11	18
Yards Passing	131	189
Passes Intercepted by	7	1
Fumbles	6	5
Yards lost penalty	88	60
Punting Average	39.7	27.3

MAC Results

Northern Division	
Last Week's Scores	
Juniata 35 Albright 14	
Delaware Valley 34 Upsala 6	
Wagner 27 Lycoming 13	
Wittenberg 63 Susquehanna 0*	
WILKES 22 Moravian 12	
This Week's Games	
Delaware Valley at WILKES	
Springfield at Albright*	
Juniata at Lebanon Valley	
Lycoming at Western Maryland	
Moravian at Wagner	
Bloomsburg at Susquehanna*	
Upsala at Drexel	
*Denotes non-conference foe	

- NOTICE -

The Doubtful Asphodel will hold its first meeting this semester on Tuesday, October 12, 11-12 a.m. in Conyngham Annex. An experimental film by Norman McLaren and "What Is Painting," by John Canaday (both in color) will be shown. Anyone interested is invited. Projected events include more films, exhibitions, N.Y.C. trips, and (furious) debates. Contribute! Learn! (En)Joy! The Doubtful Asphodel was born the Art Club, and it is otherwise known as the Apollinaire Society.

Delaware Valley provides toughest opposition to date

The Aggies of Delaware Valley (1-1) will provide the opposition for the Colonels tomorrow afternoon at Ralston Field. Delval was considered a threat to unseat Wilkes as champ of the Northern Division in the Middle Atlantic Conference this year but was surprised by Moravian in their season's opener 28-21. Last Saturday the Aggies turned back Upsala by a lopsided score of 34-6, holding the Vikings to minus 40 yards rushing.

The Delval defense ranked first last year in the Northern Division (Wilkes, a close second) allowing only 56.2 yards gained per game. The defensive platoon remains intact from last year when they put up a stubborn battle against Wilkes, losing 6-0. Four year starters Rich Kelly and Dennis Dobrowalski provide the nucleus of this platoon.

The main thrust of the Aggies' offense is centered around the excellent quarterbacking of Ron Timko, a local standout from Plains. Also in the backfield with Timko are a pair of fine runners and pass receivers, sophomore Denny Shank and junior John Nice. The Aggies will operate from a prototype offense and Timko will probably have the ball in the air most of the afternoon. The blocking up front is solid with returning lettermen Dick Kelly and John Duffy manning the tackle positions and Wayne Mehalick over the ball.

The Colonels won the first half of last week's encounter with Moravian

20-0 and limped through the second half, showing a 12-2 deficit. Overall, however, the Colonels played another outstanding game (something the Wilkes fans are becoming accustomed to) and racked up their fifteenth consecutive victory.

Danny Malloy, Joe Frappolli, and Joe Skvarla shared individual honors in last week's contest. Malloy's five pass interceptions broke the Wilkes game record for pass thefts, which tied him with Brin Varchol for the club's season record. Frappolli came off the bench in the second quarter and completed six of seven passes and moved the team very well. "Skylark" shattered the Wilkes pass reception mark as he pulled in seven passes for 90 yards — all in the first half. Bill Staae also did a commendable job with his "golden toe." Staae had kicks travel 49 and 56 yards. He finished the afternoon just a fraction under 40 yards per kick.

This is definitely a "must game" for both schools tomorrow afternoon. Delval must win to keep its chances alive for a Northern Division championship and the Colonels must win if they expect to repeat as champs for the third year in succession. The Colonel secondary will be faced with another afternoon of passes — something they have grown to expect in the past two weeks. If none of the injuries are serious, I see Wilkes winning a close game.

Predicted score: Wilkes 10, Delval 6

DIVISION STANDINGS

	Won	Lost	Non-Conf.		Pts.	Op.
			Won	Lost		
WILKES	2	0	0	0	45	26
Wagner	2	0	0	0	45	26
Juniata	1	0	1	1	42	35
Delaware Valley	1	1	0	0	55	34
Lycoming	1	1	0	0	53	34
Moravian	1	1	0	0	40	43
Susquehanna	0	0	0	2	0	123
Upsala	0	2	0	0	20	57
Albright	0	2	0	0	21	75

- NOTICE -

A student manager, preferably a freshman, is needed for the upcoming basketball season. Anyone interested contact Mr. Rainey, basketball coach. (You can get out of gym.)

GOOD LUCK
COLONELS

Athlete of the Week

by George Pawlusch

On the strength of his record breaking performance against Moravian, junior safety Danny Malloy has been selected by the Beacon as Athlete of the Week. The Greyhound offensive aerial attack couldn't get very far off the ground last Saturday, as Malloy intercepted five of their passes. Four of these came in the first quarter and set up the three Colonel scores. The five interceptions shattered the previous mark of three that was shared by P. J. Kane and Joe Wiendl.

Malloy also tied the season high of five, which was set last year by Brin Varchol. With six games to go he has a fine chance of eclipsing this record.

Malloy has been a standout defensive secondary-player for the past two seasons. He was one of the major reasons for the Colonel pass defense which didn't yield one touchdown last year.

A former West Side Catholic standout, Malloy was a three sport man in football, wrestling, and track. At Wilkes he lettered the past two years in football.



ECAC names Malloy

For his five pass interceptions against Moravian last week, the Eastern Collegiate Athletic Conference named Danny Malloy to its weekly All-East team. It was the first time for Malloy to make the team and he became the first 1967 Colonel to be so honored. Last year five members of the team were named to births on the squad.

Chuck Robbins

SPORTING GOODS

Ready to serve you
with a complete line of Sweaters,
Jackets, Emblems, Sporting Goods.
28 NORTH MAIN STREET

WILKES COLLEGE

BOOKSTORE

MILLIE GITTINS, Manager

"THE BEST HEARTS ARE
EVER THE BRAVEST."
— Laurence Sterne